1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 SEATTLE TIMES COMPANY, 8 Plaintiff, 9 v. C13-1463 TSZ 10 TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND MINUTE ORDER SURETY COMPANY (as successor-in-11 interest to Aetna Casualty and Surety Company), 12 Defendant. 13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable 14 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge: 15 Defendant's motion for reconsideration, docket no. 194, is DENIED. (1) Before the Order dated November 12, 2019, docket no. 193, was entered, all parties, 16 including defendant, were given a chance to review and object to or comment on a draft of such order. See Minute Order at ¶ 1 (docket no. 189). Having not taken advantage of 17 the opportunity to articulate its position, defendant will not now be heard to complain about the Court's analysis. See Local Civil Rule 7(h) (requiring that parties bring their 18 arguments to the Court's attention with "reasonable diligence"). Moreover, defendant has failed to establish any "manifest error" in the Court's ruling. See id. Contrary to 19 defendant's assertion, to evaluate the reasonableness of the then-proposed settlement between General Insurance Company of America ("General") and Seattle Times 20 Company, the Court was required to consider how the losses at issue would be allocated among the various insurance policies. See Order at 9:6-8 (docket no. 193). In its motion 21 for reconsideration, defendant references only one component of the Court's hypothetical apportionments, namely as set forth in Table 1 on Page 10 of the Order, contending that 22

23

MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	the Court erroneously included "the General excess policy in an equal contribution with the underlying General primary policy, before the primary policy is exhausted." <u>See</u>	
2	Mot. at 2 (docket no. 194). Defendant misunde \$429,211.777 in soil remediation expenses owe	erstands. Table 1 reflects that, of the
3	a contract, the first \$100,000 would exhaust the a result, the remaining amount would be split exhaust the split exhaust	balance of General's primary policy. As
4	1984-1985 and defendant's primary policy for 1985-1986, assuming that coverage was owed, an issue about which the Court expressly reserved judgment.	
5		py of this Minute Order to all counsel of
6	record.	
7	Dated this 2nd day of December, 2019.	
8		William M. McCool
9		Clerk
10		s/Karen Dews Deputy Clerk
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		